

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/909,194 | 09/909,194 07/19/2001 | | Michael A. Wilkman | HOLCORP.005A | 9144 | |
| 20995 | 7590 | 08/18/2005 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| | | ENS OLSON & BE | CHAMPAGNE, DONALD | | | |
| 2040 MAII FOURTEE | | _ | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| IRVINE, (| • | | 3622 | | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/909,194 | WILKMAN, MICHAEL A. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Donald L. Champagne | 3622 | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Donald L. Champagne | 3622 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI | OWTHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejectio | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) |), to avoid dismissal d | of the appeal |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | f, will <u>not</u> be entered I TE below); | pecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims. | |
| NOTE: See item 11 below. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a | nd 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| 7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | • | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,11-15 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> /it or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: | ered but does NOT place the applic | cation in condition for | allowance |
| See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | (6(s).) | • |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | | Donald L. Champag | ne |
| | THE PART AND THE P | Pondia L. Champaç | 31 IC |

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3622

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It would require far more than nominal further consideration, which is inappropriate after final. Also, many of the applicant's arguments have been addressed, and others could be explicated by applicant's own careful reading of the Deaton et al. reference in view of patent law and practice. Note for example, that Deaton et al. permits the customer to pay for purchases with various financial accounts. Unless applicant has a special "clear definition" for "manage" in the specification, said reference teaching does read on managing said user account for transferring funds. (Note on interpretation of claim terms: Unless a term is given a "clear definition" in the specification (MPEP § 2111.01), the examiner is obligated to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation, in light of the specification, and consistent with the interpretation that those skilled in the art would reach (MPEP § 2111). An inventor may define specific terms used to describe invention, but must do so "with reasonable clarity, deliberateness, and precision" (MPEP § 2111.01.III). A "clear definition" must establish the metes and bounds of the terms. A clear definition must unambiguously establish what is and what is not included. A clear definition is indicated by a section labeled definitions, or by the use of phrases such as "by xxx we mean"; "xxx is defined as"; or "xxx includes, ... but does not include ...".).

